Dear Members of Congress:

As associations representing millions of small, medium and large companies across every major sector of the U.S. economy, we strongly support the inclusion of robust market access commitments and investment protections, enforceable through investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS), in ongoing U.S. trade negotiations. These provisions promote greater opportunities for our businesses and U.S. workers in the global economy. To secure such outcomes, it is vital that Congress continue to include a strong negotiating objective on investment in Trade Promotion Authority legislation, as it has for decades.

Those opposed to strong U.S. trade agreements have been critical of ISDS, mischaracterizing it and ignoring the importance that this fundamental protection and enforcement mechanism provides for businesses and workers here in the United States doing business around the world. We urge you to consider the following points.

First, businesses throughout the United States invest here at home and an increasing number also invest overseas to access consumers in fast-growing markets around the world. Of the approximately $7 trillion in annual sales by foreign subsidiaries of U.S. companies, nearly 90 percent are sold to foreign consumers and not back to the United States. By reaching millions of new consumers overseas, U.S. foreign investment strengthens U.S.-based parent businesses, which account for nearly 50 percent of total U.S. exports and massive shares of U.S. research and development and capital expenditures. U.S. workers employed by companies with foreign operations earn 15 to 20 percent more on average than those employed by companies without foreign operations. In short, the U.S. economy benefits enormously from U.S. investment abroad.

Second, in the last 30 years, the United States has negotiated more than 40 treaties and several free trade agreements that have set in place basic commitments regarding such cross-border investment. These international commitments are based on the same rule-of-law guarantees found in the U.S. Constitution and laws – from due process, fair treatment and non-discrimination to basic property protections, such as respect for contracts and compensation for government seizures or destruction of private property – and have undergone intensive reviews in both 2004 and 2012. Enforcement of these commitments is important for the United States, its businesses and workers because when foreign governments act contrary to these basic fairness, non-discrimination and property protections, they not only harm the foreign investment, but also the parent U.S. business and its American workers. The result would be fewer sales overseas for our U.S. operations to support.

Third, the investment provisions of U.S. trade agreements are not generally enforceable through the domestic law of individual countries and require enforcement through the international agreement. Unlike other provisions of a trade agreement that can typically be enforced through more generalized dispute settlement systems, individual investments are impacted uniquely by foreign government actions and require a specialized, dependable, targeted and neutral form of
enforcement, known as ISDS. Having such a mechanism provides the additional benefit of
depoliticizing individual cases.

Fourth, ISDS panels are limited to enforcing only the international commitments to which each
country has voluntarily agreed and can only award monetary penalties. These ISDS panels
never have overturned and never can overturn any country’s laws or regulations.

Fifth, investor-state provisions in U.S. trade agreements do not allow any circumvention of non-
discriminatory, fairly applied domestic regulatory processes or laws. As all of the cases under
the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) have shown so far, investors have been
successful only where they have challenged foreign government actions that lacked a
legitimate, non-discriminatory purpose and tilted the playing field against a foreign investor or in
favor of a domestic competitor. And, of course, the U.S. Government has never lost an ISDS
case, reflecting our strong tradition of non-discrimination and rule-of-law.

Lastly, most investors who bring cases are individuals or small and medium sized businesses.
In the 105 disputes filed at the International Centre for the Settlement of Disputes (ICSID) by
American investors, two-thirds of the participants in the arbitrations were individuals or SMEs,
according to findings in Investor-State Dispute Settlement: A Reality Check by the Center for
Strategic and International Studies (CSIS).

ISDS is a strong enforcement tool that helps ensure that American businesses and their
workers will be treated fairly overseas. This mechanism is an essential part of high-standard
U.S. trade and investment agreements and should remain a high priority trade negotiating
objective in the renewal of Trade Promotion Authority.

Sincerely,
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